

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/310,596

Applicant(s)

LISITSA ET AL.

Examiner

William C. Vaughn, Jr.

Art Unit

2143

All Participants:

(1) William C. Vaughn, Jr.

(2) Y. Kurt Chang, Reg. No. 41,397

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 24 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-8, 10-42, 57-81

Prior art documents discussed:

U.S. Patent No. 6,086,628

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative in regards to the IDS. The Examiner indicated that in order to place the application in condition for allowance the claim language with regards to "performance parameters" would need to be further clarified in detail as well as submission of a terminal disclaimer for a possible double patenting with regards to application 09/310,610. Applicant's representative requested that an office action should be sent in order to respond to this request.